		www.iniplaw.org overhauser www.overhauser.com
1	Charlie W. Gordon GREENE & COOPER L.L.P.	law offices
2	2210 Green Way	
3	P.O. Box 20067 Louisville, KY 40250-0067	
4	Tel: 502-495-6500 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	J & J Sports Productions, Inc.	
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE	
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION	
8		
	J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,	Case No.: 1:13-cv-1466
9	Plaintiff,	
10		
11	vs.	
12	LUIS A. SCHEKER, INDIVIDUALLY and	
13	d/b/a MARGARITA'S A/K/A LAS MARGARITAS; and MARGARITAS US31	
14	MEXICAN RESTAURANT, INC., an	
	unknown business entity d/b/a MARGARITA'S A/K/A LAS	
15	MARGARITAS,	
16		
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	COMPLAINT	
20	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
21	<u>sexupe</u>	<del></del>
22	1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of	a question arising under particular statutes. This
23	action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934	
24	as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection an	
	-	
25	Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq.	
26		
27	2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
28	Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil action	

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

### **VENUE**

Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Southern District, because a 4. substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

Assignment to the Indianapolis Division of the Southern District is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Marion County and/or the United States District Court for the Southern District has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

# THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- 7. Defendant Luis A. Scheker is an officer of Margaritas US31 Mexican Restaurant, Inc., which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas. Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas operates at 7763 South US 31, Indianapolis, Indiana 46227.
- 8. Defendant Luis A. Scheker is also an individual specifically identified by the Department of Liquor License issued for Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas (License # RR4923950).

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 17, 2011(the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Luis A. Scheker had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 17, 2011(the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Luis A. Scheker, as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's Program, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that the liquor license was not used in violation of law.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 17, 2011(the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Luis A. Scheker specifically directed the employees of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's Program at Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas or that the actions of the employees of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas are directly imputable to Defendants Luis A. Scheker by virtue of their acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 17, 2011, Defendant Luis A. Scheker as managing member of Margaritas US31 Mexican Restaurant, Inc. and as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas, had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of Plaintiff's *Program*, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendant Luis A. Scheker resulted in increased profits for Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Margaritas US31 Mexican Restaurant, Inc. is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or

1 2 person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing liquor as Margarita's a/k/a Las Margaritas operating at 7763 South US 31, Indianapolis, Indiana 46227.

3

## **COUNT I**

4 5

### (Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

6

7

15. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-14, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

8

16. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to "Star Power": Floyd Mayweather, Jr. v. Victor Ortiz, telecast nationwide on Saturday, September 17, 2011 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter

Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent

sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including

entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights,

specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial

establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants,

11 12

referred to as the "Program").

10

13

17.

social clubs, etc.).

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

18. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the Program to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.

23

24

25

26

19. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every one of the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-14 above), did unlawfully

intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its

27

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

27

28

**COUNT III** 1 2 (Conversion) 3 4 30. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-29, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length. 5 6 By their aforesaid acts of interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or 31. 7 exhibition of the *Program* at their commercial establishment at the above-captioned address, the 8 aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, tortuously obtained possession of the *Program* and 9 wrongfully converted same for their own use and benefit. 10 11 32. The aforesaid acts of the Defendants were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally designed to harm Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., by depriving Plaintiff of the commercial 12 license fee to which Plaintiff was rightfully entitled to receive from them, and in doing so, the 13 Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to severe economic distress and great financial loss. 14 15 33. Accordingly, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to both compensatory, as 16 well as punitive and exemplary damages, from aforementioned Defendants as the result of the 17 Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the *Program* and deliberate injury to the Plaintiff. 18 19 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below. 20 21 As to the First Count: 22 23 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and 24 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and 25 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of 26 27 process fees, investigative costs, and 28 For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just 4.

and proper; 1 2 **As to the Second Count:** 3 4 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 5 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's 6 discretion pursuant to statute, and; 7 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service 8 of process fees, investigative costs, and; 9 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just 10 and proper. 11 12 As to the Third Count: 13 1. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the 14 Defendants, and each of them, and; 15 2. For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 3. For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 16 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant 17 to statute, and; 18 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee, 19 investigative costs, and; 20 6. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper. 21 22 Respectfully submitted, 23 /s/ Charlie W. Gordon 24 GREENE & COOPER L.L.P. By: Charlie W. Gordon 25 Attorneys for Plaintiff 26 J & J Sports Productions, Inc. 27 28