



Provided by:  
Overhauser Law Offices LLC  
www.iniplaw.org  
www.overhauser.com

Steven F. Schossberger, ISB No. 5358  
HAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP  
877 Main Street, Suite 1000  
P.O. Box 1617  
Boise, ID 83701-1617  
Telephone: 208.344.6000  
Facsimile: 208.954.5260  
Email: sschossberger@hawleytroxell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

GS CLEANTECH CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PACIFIC ETHANOL MAGIC VALLEY,  
LLC; ICM, INC.; and DAVID J. VANDER  
GRIEND,

Defendants.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, GS CleanTech Corporation, for its Complaint against Defendant Pacific Ethanol Magic Valley, LLC, does hereby, through its attorneys, allege as follows:

**IV.  
THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, GS CleanTech Corporation (hereinafter “GS CleanTech”), is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 5950 Shiloh Road East, Suite N, Alpharetta, Georgia 30005. GS CleanTech is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GreenShift Corporation

(hereinafter “GreenShift”), a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 5950 Shiloh Road East, Suite N, Alpharetta, Georgia 30005.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pacific Ethanol Magic Valley, LLC (“PEMV”), is a Delaware limited liability company, is registered with the Idaho Secretary of State and authorized to do business in the State of Idaho, and transacts business at 2600 Washington Avenue, Burley, Idaho 83318.

3. Upon information and belief, ICM, Inc. (“ICM”) is a Kansas corporation having a place of business at 310 North First Street, Colwich, Kansas.

4. Upon information and belief, David J. Vander Griend (“Vander Griend”) is the founder, President, and CEO of ICM and resides in Kansas at 1905 North Glen Wood Street, Wichita, Kansas.

## V. JURISDICTION

5. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this claim under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, upon information and belief and among other things, they reside in and/or directly, or indirectly through their agents, transact business in this judicial District, have committed acts within this judicial District giving rise to this action and/or at least by offering to sell, selling, purchasing, and/or advertising the infringing products and/or placing them into the stream of commerce in such a way as to reach customers in this judicial District, and/or because each has sufficient minimum contacts

with this judicial District. Requiring Defendants to respond to this action will not violate due process.

## **VI. VENUE**

7. Upon information and belief, Defendants reside in this judicial District, directly, or indirectly through their agents, transact business in this judicial District and/or have committed acts within this judicial District giving rise to this action. Venue is proper in this judicial District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and 1400(b).

## **VII. BACKGROUND FACTS**

8. GS CleanTech is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,601,858, entitled “Method Of Processing Ethanol Byproducts And Related Subsystems,” issued on October 13, 2009 (the “ ‘858 patent”). A true and correct copy of the ‘858 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The ‘858 patent issued from a patent application originally filed on May 5, 2005 as Serial No. 11/122,859 (the “ ‘859 application”) and published on February 23, 2006 as U.S. Patent Application Publication 2006/0041152. *See* Exhibit A. Both the ‘858 patent and the ‘859 application claim priority to GS CleanTech’s first patent application related to its novel corn oil extraction methods and systems, which was filed in August of 2004 as a provisional application (Serial No. 60/602,050) (the “ ‘050 provisional application”). *Id.* The ‘858 patent and the ‘859 patent application are generally directed to the recovery of corn oil from the byproducts produced during the manufacture of ethanol from corn. *Id.*

9. GS CleanTech is the owner by assignment of U.S. Pat. No. 8,008,516 (“the ‘516 patent”), entitled “Method Of Processing Ethanol Byproducts And Related Subsystems,” issued

on August 30, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '516 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The '516 patent issued from a patent application originally filed on September 30, 2005 as Serial No. 11/241,231 (the " '231 application") and published on February 23, 2006 as U.S. Patent Application Publication 2006/0041153. The '516 patent is a continuation of, and claims priority to the '858 patent, that in turn claims priority to the '050 provisional application. The '516 patent is generally directed to the recovery of corn oil from the byproducts produced during the manufacture of ethanol from corn.

10. GS CleanTech is the owner by assignment of U.S. Pat. No. 8,008,517 ("the '517 Patent"), entitled "Method Of Recovering Oil From Thin Stillage," issued on August 30, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '517 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C. The '517 patent issued from a patent application originally filed on September 14, 2009 as Serial No. 12/559,136 (the " '136 application") and published on January 7, 2010 as U.S. Patent Application Publication 2010/0004474. The '517 patent is a continuation of, and claims priority to the '858 patent, that in turn claims priority to the '050 provisional application. The '517 patent is generally directed to the recovery of corn oil from the byproducts produced during the manufacture of ethanol from corn.

11. GS CleanTech is the owner by assignment of U.S. Pat. No. 8,283,484 ("the '484 Patent"), entitled "Method Of Processing Ethanol Byproducts And Related Subsystems," issued on October 9, 2012. The '484 patent issued from a patent application originally filed on May 13, 2011 as Serial No. 13/107,197 (the " '197 application") and published on November 17, 2011 as U.S. Patent Application Publication 2011/0282085. A true and correct copy of the '484 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D. The '484 patent is a continuation of, and claims priority to the '858

patent, that in turn claims priority to the '050 provisional application. The '484 patent is generally directed to the recovery of corn oil from the byproducts produced during the manufacture of ethanol from corn.

12. GS CleanTech has standing to sue for infringement of the '858, '516, '517, and '484 patents (collectively "the patents-in-suit") because it owns all right, title and interest in and to the patents-in-suit, including the right to collect for past and future damages. GS CleanTech has suffered injury from Defendant's acts of patent infringement.

13. GS CleanTech invented a novel patented process to extract corn oil from the byproducts created during the manufacture of ethyl alcohol. This process is claimed in GS CleanTech's patents-in-suit.

14. Recently, significant attention has been given to the production of ethyl alcohol, or "ethanol," for use as an alternative fuel. Ethanol not only burns cleaner than fossil fuels, but also can be produced using grains such as corn, which are abundant and renewable domestic resources.

15. In the United States, ethanol is typically produced from corn. Corn contains significant amounts of sugar and starch, which are fermented to produce ethanol.

16. A popular method of producing ethanol is known as "dry milling," whereby the starch in the corn is used to produce ethanol through fermentation. In a typical dry milling method, the process starts by grinding each kernel of corn into meal, which is then slurried with water into mash. Enzymes are added to the mash to convert the starch to sugar. Yeast is then added in fermentors to convert the sugar to ethanol and carbon dioxide. After fermentation, the mixture is transferred to distillation columns where the ethanol is evaporated and recovered as

product, leaving an intermediate product called “whole stillage.” The whole stillage contains the corn oil and the parts of each kernel of corn that were not fermented into ethanol.

17. Despite containing valuable corn oil, the whole stillage has traditionally been treated as a byproduct of the dry milling fermentation process and used primarily to supplement animal feed mostly in the form of a product called “dried distillers grains with solubles” (hereinafter “DDGS”).

18. Prior to GS CleanTech’s invention, efforts to recover the valuable corn oil from the whole stillage had not been successful in terms of efficiency or economy. A need therefore existed for a more efficient and economical manner of recovering corn oil. GS CleanTech has filled that need with its novel and inventive process.

19. The inventors of the novel process, David Cantrell and David Winsness, completed feasibility testing with an early-stage corn oil extraction prototype in 2004 and demonstrated, for the first time, that efficient extraction of the corn oil trapped in the dry milling byproducts was economically feasible.

20. In August of 2004, the inventors filed the ‘050 provisional application directed to embodiments of their novel corn oil extraction methods and systems. The ‘858, ‘516, ‘517, and ‘484 patents claim priority back to the ‘050 provisional application.

21. In one embodiment, GS CleanTech’s patented method comprises initially processing the whole stillage by mechanically separating (such as by using a centrifugal decanter) the whole stillage into distillers wet grains and thin stillage, and then introducing the thin stillage into an evaporator to form a concentrated byproduct or “syrup.” Prior to recombining the now concentrated syrup with the distillers wet grains, the syrup is introduced

into a second mechanical separator, such as a second centrifuge, which is different from the centrifuge that mechanically separated the whole stillage into distillers wet grains and thin stillage. This second centrifuge separates corn oil from the syrup thereby allowing for the recovery of usable corn oil. The syrup that exits the centrifuge is then recombined with the distillers wet grain and dried in a dryer to form the DDGS. The corn oil that is extracted from the syrup can be used for various purposes such as feedstock for producing biodiesel.

22. After filing the '050 provisional application in 2004, the inventors of GS CleanTech's novel corn oil extraction method began to engage the ethanol manufacturing industry to explain and market the corn oil extraction method itself and the benefits to be had by ethanol manufacturers if they were to install these systems in their facilities. In fact, in 2005, the inventors invited ethanol manufacturers to a symposium to hear about the advantages of this method and about 30 percent of the industry attended.

23. On or about October 14, 2009, ICM, Inc. (hereinafter "ICM"), a Kansas corporation having its principal place of business at 310 North First Street, Colwich, Kansas, filed its First Amended Complaint against GS CleanTech and GreenShift in U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, No. 09-cv-01315-WEB-KMH (the "ICM Lawsuit"). ICM's claims against GS CleanTech and GreenShift include a claim for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '858 patent.

24. In its First Amended Complaint in the ICM Lawsuit, ICM admits that it "designs and builds ethanol production plants for customers and promotes, sells and installs centrifuge equipment to such customers for recovering oil from corn byproducts." Exhibit E, ¶ 9. ICM

further admits that it “sell[s] and/or use[s] equipment to practice corn oil recovery methods that are in part the subject of the claims of the ‘858 Patent.” *Id.* at ¶ 8.

25. Upon information and belief, ICM has installed equipment at PEMV and/or rented, leased, supplied, sold or otherwise provided equipment to PEMV, wherein the use of such equipment by PEMV directly infringes one or more claims of the patents-in-suit. By virtue of the actions taken by ICM, ICM has contributorily infringed one or more of the claims of the patents-in-suit and/or actively induced PEMV to infringe one or more of the claims of the patents-in-suit.

26. Upon information and belief, as the founder, President and CEO of ICM, Vander Griend is in control of ICM and its operations.

27. Upon information and belief, Vander Griend and ICM have had specific knowledge of the existence of the '858 patent since the day that it issued on October 13, 2009.

28. Upon information and belief, Vander Griend and ICM have had specific knowledge of the existence of the '516 patent since the day that it issued on August 30, 2011.

29. Upon information and belief, Vander Griend and ICM have had specific knowledge of the existence of the '517 patent since the day it issued on August 30, 2011.

30. Upon information and belief, Vander Griend and ICM have had specific knowledge of the existence of the '484 patent since the day it issued on October 9, 2012.

31. Upon information and belief, under the control of Vander Griend and at the direction of Vander Griend, ICM has agreed to indemnify its customers, including PEMV, for any claims arising out of the infringement of adversely owned patents by such customer of corn oil extraction equipment purchased and used by such customer, wherein such indemnification



agreements specifically include ICM's provision of a defense for such customer, at ICM's expense, against claims asserted by GS CleanTech against such customers claiming that the customers have infringed one or more claims of the patents-in-suit.

32. Upon information and belief, the main purpose of the indemnification agreements provided by ICM under the control of and at the direction of Vander Griend, is to overcome the deterrent effect that the patent laws would have on the such customers, including PEMV.

33. Upon information and belief, by virtue of the actions taken by Vander Griend, who is in control of ICM and its operations, Vander Griend possessed specific intent to encourage ICM's customers to infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit and knew or should have known that his actions would induce ICM's customers to infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit. By virtue of the actions taken by Vander Griend, who is in control of ICM and its operations, Vander Griend has actively aided and abetted ICM in actively inducing its customers, including PEMV, to directly infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit.

**COUNT I**  
**(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,858)**

34. GS CleanTech repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-33, above, as though fully set forth herein.

35. Defendants infringe and will continue to infringe one or more of the claims of the '858 patent by, among other activities, practicing the claimed methods and/or processes, and/or by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe, and/or by contributing to the infringement of others.

36. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe have injured GS CleanTech, and GS CleanTech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement.

37. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has been willful, deliberate, and objectively reckless.

38. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure GS CleanTech, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products or practice of any methods and/or processes that come within the scope of the claims of the '858 patent.

**COUNT II**  
**(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,008,516)**

39. GS CleanTech repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-38, above, as though fully set forth herein.

40. Defendants infringe and will continue to infringe one or more of the claims of the '516 patent by, among other activities, practicing the claimed methods and/or processes, and/or by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe, and/or by contributing to the infringement of others.

41. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe have injured GS CleanTech, and GS CleanTech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement.

42. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has been willful, deliberate, and objectively reckless.

43. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure GS CleanTech, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products or practice of any methods and/or processes that come within the scope of the claims of the '516 patent.

**COUNT III**  
**(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,008,517)**

44. GS CleanTech repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-37, above, as though fully set forth herein.

45. Defendants infringe and will continue to infringe one or more of the claims of the '517 patent by, among other activities, practicing the claimed methods and/or processes, and/or by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe, and/or by contributing to the infringement of others.

46. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe have injured GS CleanTech, and GS CleanTech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement.

47. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has been willful, deliberate, and objectively reckless.

48. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure GS CleanTech, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products or practice of any methods and/or processes that come within the scope of the claims of the '517 patent.

**COUNT IV**  
**(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,283,484)**

49. GS CleanTech repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-48, above, as though fully set forth herein.

50. Defendants infringe and will continue to infringe one or more of the claims of the '484 patent by, among other activities, practicing the claimed methods and/or processes, and/or by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe, and/or by contributing to the infringement of others.

51. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe have injured GS CleanTech, and GS CleanTech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement.

52. Defendants' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has been willful, deliberate, and objectively reckless.

53. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure GS CleanTech, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products or practice of any methods and/or processes that come within the scope of the claims of the '484 patent.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, GS CleanTech respectfully asks this Court to enter judgment against PEMV and against its respective subsidiaries, successors, parents, affiliates, officers, directors, agents, servants and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

- A. The entry of judgment in favor of GS CleanTech and against Defendants;
  - B. A preliminary injunction prohibiting further infringement, inducement and/or contributory infringement of the patents-in-suit;
  - C. A permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement, inducement and/or contributory infringement of the patents-in-suit patents;
  - D. An award of damages adequate to compensate GS CleanTech for the infringement that has occurred, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the inventions of the patents-in-suit as provided in 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment interest from the date the infringement began;
  - E. An award to GS CleanTech of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
  - F. An award to GS CleanTech of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
  - G. An award to GS CleanTech of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. § 154(d);
- and
- H. Such other relief to which GS CleanTech is entitled under law, and any other and further relief that this Court or a jury may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), GS CleanTech demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED THIS 18th day of March, 2014.

HAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP

By           /s/ Steven F. Schossberger            
Steven F. Schossberger, ISB No. 5358  
Attorneys for Plaintiff