case 2:14-cv-00195-TLS-JEM document 1 filed 06/05/14 page 1 of 8



Provided by: Overhauser Law Offices LLC www.iniplaw.org www.overhauser.com

2

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SHEILA M. KIKALOS, individually and d/b/a VALLA DEL SOL A/K/A VILLA DEL SOL.

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:14-cv-195

COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

JURISDICTION

- Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendant's wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at The Defendant's wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

1 2 **VENUE** 3 Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Northern District of 4. Indiana, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in 4 this District. 5 6 INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT 7 5. Assignment to the Hammond Division of the Northern District of Indiana is proper 8 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Lake County and/or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana has decided 10 that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular 11 Division. 12 13 THE PARTIES 14 6. Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, 15 Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008. 16 17 Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos owns and operates the commercial establishment 7. 18 doing business as Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol. Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol operates at 19 2225 West Lincoln Highway, Merrillville, IN 46410. 20 /// Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos is an individual specifically identified on the Alcohol and 21 Tobacco Commission Liquor Permit license issued for Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol (License 22 #RR4514193). 23 24 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on June 9, 2012 (the night of the 25 Program at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 15), Defendant Sheila M. 26 Kikalos had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*. 27

Page 2

28

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on June 9, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 15), Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos, as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that the liquor license was not used in violation of law.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on June 9, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 15), Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos, specifically directed the employees of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol or that the actions of the employees of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol are directly imputable to Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos by virtue of her acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on June 9, 2012, Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol, had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of Plaintiff's *Program*, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendant Sheila M. Kikalos, resulted in increased profits for Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Sheila M. Kikalos is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Valla Del Sol a/k/a Villa Del Sol operating at 2225 West Lincoln Highway, Merrillville, IN 46410.

<u>COUNT I</u>

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

Page 3

14. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-13, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

3 4

5

6

15. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to Manny Pacquiao v. Timothy Bradley, WBO World Welterweight Championship Fight Program, telecast nationwide on Saturday, June 9, 2012 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "*Program*").

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 16. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).
- 17. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.
- 18. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, the above named Defendant, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendant (as outlined in paragraphs 7-13 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at her commercial establishment in Merrillville, located at 2225 West Lincoln Highway, Merrillville, IN 46410.
- 19. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by the Defendant was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.

Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq.

1 2 3	27. By reason of the Defendant's violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, <i>et seq.</i> , Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553.				
4	28. As the result of the aforementioned Defendant's violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553,				
5	Plaintiff J & J Sports	Productions, Inc., is entitled to the following from each Defendant:			
6	(a)	Statutory damages for each violation in an amount to			
7		\$10,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii); and also			
8 9	(b)	Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to			
10	(*)	\$50,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(B); and also			
11 12 13	(c)	the recovery of full costs pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553 (c)(2)(C); and also			
14 15 16	(d)	and in the discretion of this Honorable Court, reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553 (c)(2)(C).			
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.				
18	COUNT III				
	(Conversion)				
19	///				
20	///				
21	29. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-				
22	28, inclusive, as thou	igh set forth herein at length.			
23 24 25 26 27	30. By her aforesaid acts of interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition of the <i>Program</i> at her commercial establishment at the above-captioned address, the aforementioned Defendant, tortuously obtained possession of the <i>Program</i> and wrongfully converted same for her own use and benefit.				

The aforesaid acts of the Defendant were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally

28 | 31.

1			and proper.		
2		A a to t	the Third Counts		
3	As to the Third Count:				
4		1.		mages in an amount according to proof against the Defendant,	
5		2	and;	as a sainst the Defendant and	
6		2.		ges against the Defendant, and;	
7	3. For punitive damages against the Defendant, and; 7				
8		4.	to statute, and;	eys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant	
9		5.		ncluding but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee,	
10	investigative costs, and;		d;		
11		6.	For such other and fur	rther relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.	
12					
13					
14				Respectfully submitted,	
15					
16	Date:	June 5	, 2014	Charlie W. Gordon	
17				GREENE & COOPER LLP	
18				By: Charlie W. Gordon Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19				J & J Sports Productions, Inc.	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					