case 2:14-cv-00331-JTM-JEM document 1 filed 09/11/14 page 1 of 8



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Case No.: 2:14-cv-331

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DICHADD

RICHARD SERRANO, INDIVIDUALLY and d/b/a AGAVE MEXICAN RESTUARANT; and AGAVE, INC., an unknown business entity d/b/a AGAVE MEXICAN RESTUARANT,

D - f - --

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, *et seq.*, and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, *et seq.*

20 | ///

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication,

divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

VENUE

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Northern District, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Hammond Division of the Northern District is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Lake County and/or the United States District Court for the Northern District has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of liquor located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- 7. Defendant Richard Serrano is an officer of Agave, Inc., which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing liquor as Agave Mexican Restuarant. Agave Mexican Restuarant operates at 327 Main Street, Hobart, Indiana 46342.
- 8. Defendant Richard Serrano is also an individual specifically identified by the Department of Liquor License issued for Agave Mexican Restuarant (License # RR4528992).
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 15, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Richard Serrano had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Agave Mexican Restuarant, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 15, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant

Richard Serrano, as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Agave Mexican Restuarant, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Agave Mexican Restuarant, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that the liquor license was not used in violation of law.

- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 15, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Richard Serrano specifically directed the employees of Agave Mexican Restuarant to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Agave Mexican Restuarant or that the actions of the employees of Agave Mexican Restuarant are directly imputable to Defendants Richard Serrano by virtue of their acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Agave Mexican Restuarant.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on September 15, 2012, Defendant Richard Serrano as managing member of Agave, Inc. and as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Agave Mexican Restuarant, had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Agave Mexican Restuarant, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of Plaintiff's *Program*, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendant Richard Serrano resulted in increased profits for Agave Mexican Restuarant.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Agave, Inc. is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing liquor as Agave Mexican Restuarant operating at 327 Main Street, Hobart, Indiana 46342.

COUNT I

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

- 15. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-14, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 16. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive

broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "*Program*").

1

8 9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13

14

15

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20

21

- 22
- 23
- 24

25 26

27

28

17. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).

nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to "Knockout Kings": Canelo Alvarez v.

Josesito Lopez Championship Fight Program, telecast nationwide on Saturday, September 15,

2012 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television

- As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the Program, 18. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.
- 19. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every one of the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-14 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the Program at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Hobart, located at 327 Main Street, Hobart, Indiana 46342.
- 20. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.
- Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of 21. communications (such as the transmission of the *Program* for which Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., had the distribution rights thereto).

| 1 | 22. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of |
|------------|---|
| 2 | them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq. |
| 3 | 23. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., Plaintiff |
| 4 | J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section |
| 5 | 605. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | 24. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, |
| 8 | and pursuant to said Section 605, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to the following from each Defendant: |
| 9 | Tollowing from each Defendant. |
| 10 | (a) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to |
| 11 | \$100,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 605(e)(3)(C)(ii), and also |
| 12 | |
| 13 | (b) the recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, |
| 14 | pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605(e)(3)(B)(iii). |
| 15 | WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below. |
| 16 | WHEREFORE, I failth prays for judgment as set for the below. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | <u>COUNT II</u> |
| 20 | (Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | 25. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1- |
| 23 | 24, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | 26. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or |
| 26 | exhibition of the <i>Program</i> by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C. |
| 20 27 | Section 553, et seq. |
| - / | 1 |

28

For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just

28

4.

and proper. 1 2 As to the Third Count: 3 1. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the 4 Defendants, and each of them, and; 5 For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 2. 6 3. For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 7 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant 8 to statute, and; 9 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee, investigative costs, and; 10 For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper. 6. 11 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 14 Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Charlie W. Gordon **GREENE & COOPER, LLP** 15 By: Charlie W. Gordon 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28