Case 1:14-cv-01992-TWP-DKL Document 1 Filed 12/03/14 Page 1 of 8 PageID #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION



J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

Case No.: 1:14-cv-1992

CASSIA CORTEZ, INDIVIDUALLY and d/b/a REGIOS; and TAQIERIA REGIOS, INC., an unknown business entity d/b/a REGIOS.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

VS.

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, *et seq.*, and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, *et seq.*
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

VENUE

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Southern District, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Indianapolis Division of the Southern District is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Marion County and/or the United States District Court for the Southern District has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- 7. Defendant Cassia Cortez is an officer of Taqieria Regios, Inc., which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Regios. Regios operates at 4632 North Post Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46226.
- 8. Defendant Cassia Cortez is also an individual specifically identified by the Indian Secretary of State license issued for Regios (License #2011060100571).
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on December 8, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Cassia Cortez had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Regios, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on December 8, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Cassia Cortez, as an individual specifically identified on the license for Regios, had the obligation to

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

supervise the activities of Regios, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that the license was not used in violation of law.

- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on December 8, 2012 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Cassia Cortez specifically directed the employees of Regios to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Regios or that the actions of the employees of Regios are directly imputable to Defendant Cassia Cortez by virtue of their acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Regios.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on December 8, 2012, 12. Defendant Cassia Cortez as managing member of Taqieria Regios, Inc. and as an individual specifically identified on the license for Regios, had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Regios, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's Program.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of Plaintiff's *Program*, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendant Cassia Cortez resulted in increased profits for Regios.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Taqieria Regios, Inc. is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing as Regios operating at 4632 North Post Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46226.

COUNT I

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

- 15. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-14, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive 16. nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to Manny Pacquiao v. Juan Manuel Marquez, IV Welterweight Fight Program, telecast nationwide on Saturday, December 8, 2012

1 2 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "*Program*").

Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent

3 4

17.

sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the Program within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants,

6 7

5

social clubs, etc.). 8

9

10

11

As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, 18. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.

12

13

14

15

19. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every one of the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-14 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the Program at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Indianapolis, located at 4632 North Post Road,

16 17

18 Indianapolis, Indiana 46226.

19 20

> 20. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.

23

24

25

21

22

21. Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of communications (such as the transmission of the *Program* for which Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., had the distribution rights thereto).

26

22. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq.

27 28

1	
1	23. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., Plaintiff
2	J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section
3	605.
4	
5	24. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605,
6	and pursuant to said Section 605, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to the
7	following from each Defendant:
8	
9	(a) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to
10	\$100,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 605(e)(3)(C)(ii), and also
11	(b) the recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees,
	pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).
12	
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.
14	T was gained as a second secon
15	
16	<u>COUNT II</u>
17	
18	(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553)
19	
20	25. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-
21	24, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
22	
23	26. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or
24	exhibition of the <i>Program</i> by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C.
25	Section 553, et seq.
26	27. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of
27	them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq.
28	and the transfer seems and the seems are seems and the seems and the seems and the seems are seems and the seems and the seems a

32. The aforesaid acts of the Defendants were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally	
designed to harm Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., by depriving Plaintiff of the commercial	
license fee to which Plaintiff was rightfully entitled to receive from them, and in doing so, the	
Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to severe economic distress and great financial loss.	

33. Accordingly, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., is entitled to both compensatory, as well as punitive and exemplary damages, from aforementioned Defendants as the result of the Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the *Program* and deliberate injury to the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

As to the First Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and
- 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper;

As to the Second Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and;
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just