1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA 2 **INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION** 3 G & G CLOSED CIRCUIT EVENTS, LLC, Case No.: 1:15-cv-616 4 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 5 VS. 6 7 ELSA M. VALDEZ, INDIVIDUALLY and d/b/a SABOR LATINO BAR A/K/A 8 JOHNNY'S PARK INN RESTAURANT & BAR; and TIKAL #2, INC., an unknown business entity d/b/a SABOR LATINO BAR A/K/A JOHNNY'S PARK INN 10 **RESTAURANT & BAR,** 11 Defendants. 12 13 **PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:** 14 15 **JURISDICTION** 16 17 Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, 18 as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and 19 Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq. 20 /// 21 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 22 Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions 23 arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter 24 jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction). 25 This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the 26 Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the 27 exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at 28

The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication,

length.

divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

## **VENUE**

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Southern District, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

## INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Indianapolis Division of the Southern District is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Marion County and/or the United States District Court for the Southern District has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

## THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- 7. Defendant Elsa M. Valdez is an officer of Tikal #2, Inc., which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn Restaurant & Bar. Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar operates at 2531 Shelby Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46203.
- 8. Defendant Elsa M. Valdez is also an individual specifically identified by the Department of Liquor License issued for Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar (License # RR4900301).
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on April 20, 2013 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Elsa M. Valdez had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.

- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on April 20, 2013 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Elsa M. Valdez, as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that the liquor license was not used in violation of law.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on April 20, 2013 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendant Elsa M. Valdez specifically directed the employees of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar or that the actions of the employees of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar are directly imputable to Defendants Elsa M. Valdez by virtue of their acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn restaurant & Bar.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on April 20, 2013, Defendant Elsa M. Valdez as managing member of Tikal #2, Inc. and as an individual specifically identified on the liquor license for Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn Restaurant & Bar, had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn Restaurant & Bar, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of Plaintiff's *Program*, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendant Elsa M. Valdez resulted in increased profits for Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn Restaurant & Bar.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Tikal #2, Inc. is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Sabor Latino Bar a/k/a Johnny's Park Inn Restaurant & Bar operating at 2531 Shelby Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46203.

## <u>COUNT I</u>

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

15. Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-14, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

16. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to *Saul Alvarez v. Austin Trout Fight Program*, telecast nationwide on Saturday, April 20, 2013 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "*Program*").

17. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants,

social clubs, etc.).

commercial entities.

18. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned

19. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every one of the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-14 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Indianapolis, located at 2531 Shelby Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46203.

1	25. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-
2	24, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
3	
4	26. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or
5	exhibition of the <i>Program</i> by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C.
6	Section 553, et seq.
7	
8	27. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, <i>et seq</i> .
9	them, violated Title 47 O.S.C. Section 555, et seq.
10	28. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq., Plaintiff G
11	& G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section
12	553.
13	29. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553,
14	Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, is entitled to the following from each Defendant:
15	
16	(a) Statutory damages for each violation in an amount to
17	\$10,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii); and also
18	(b) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to
19	\$50,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(B); and also
20	
21	(c) the recovery of full costs pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553
22	(c)(2)(C); and also
23	
24	(d) and in the discretion of this Honorable Court, reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553 (c)(2)(C).
25	pursuant to Title 47 0.5.C. Section 555 (C)(2)(C).
26	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.
27	
28	

**COUNT III** 1 2 3 (Conversion) 4 5 30. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-29, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length. 6 7 31. By their aforesaid acts of interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or 8 exhibition of the *Program* at their commercial establishment at the above-captioned address, the 9 aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, tortuously obtained possession of the *Program* and 10 wrongfully converted same for their own use and benefit. 11 32. The aforesaid acts of the Defendants were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally 12 designed to harm Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, by depriving Plaintiff of the 13 commercial license fee to which Plaintiff was rightfully entitled to receive from them, and in doing 14 so, the Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to severe economic distress and great financial loss. 15 16 33. Accordingly, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, is entitled to both 17 compensatory, as well as punitive and exemplary damages, from aforementioned Defendants as the result of the Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the Program and 18 deliberate injury to the Plaintiff. 19 20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below. 21 22 As to the First Count: 23 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants, 24 and each of them, and 25 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and 26 For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of 3. 27 process fees, investigative costs, and 28 For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just 4.

and proper; 1 2 **As to the Second Count:** 3 4 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 5 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's 6 discretion pursuant to statute, and; 7 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service 8 of process fees, investigative costs, and; 9 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just 10 and proper. 11 12 As to the Third Count: 13 1. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the 14 Defendants, and each of them, and; 15 2. For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 3. For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and; 16 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant 17 to statute, and; 18 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee, 19 investigative costs, and; 20 6. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper. 21 /// 22 /// /// 23 Respectfully submitted, 24 25 Date: April 15, 2015 /s/ Charlie W. Gordon **GREENE & COOPER LLP** 26 By: Charlie W. Gordon Attorneys for Plaintiff 27 G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC 28 ///