

Provided by:

Overhauser Law Offices LLC

www.iniplaw.org www.overhauser.com UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

| Richard N. Bell, |) |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| |) Case No. 1:15-cv |
| v. |) |
| |) |
| PROACT SEARCH LLC |) |
| |) |
| Defendant. |) |

COMPLAINT Summary of lawsuit

1. The Plaintiff, Richard N. Bell, took photograph of the Indianapolis Skyline in 2000 and the "Indianapolis photo" was registered with the U.S. Copyright office. In 2105, the Plaintiff discovered that the Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC had published the "Indianapolis photo" in advertising which appears on a website even though Defendant had no rights or authority to publish the Indianapolis Photo. The Plaintiff requests damages and injunctive relief against Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC for violations of the U.S. Copyright laws.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This copyright infringement action arises under 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (acts of Congress related to copyright).
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant by virtue of their transacting, doing, and soliciting business in this District, and because a

substantial part of the relevant events occurred in this District and because a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action is situated here.

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a) because the named plaintiff Richard N. Bell resides in this district and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; and/or conduct business in this district.

PARTIES

- 5. The Plaintiff, Richard N. Bell is an attorney and a professional photographer and lives in Mc Cordsville, Indiana.
- 6. Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC, is an Illinois LLC located in Wilmette, Illinois and conducts business in this district. Defendant created a website by the domain name of proactsearch.com which appears on the World Wide Web website to advertise and promote their employment search business. Recently PROACT SEARCH LLC was employed by the Indianapolis Public Schools to find an executive.

FACTS

- 7. In March 2000, the Plaintiff, a United States citizen, took a photograph of downtown Indianapolis skyline from overlooking the canal from St. Clair Avenue.
- 8. The photograph is an original work that is copyrighted under United States

- law. A copy of the photo is attached as Exhibit A, hereinafter referred to as "Indianapolis Photo"
- 9. Since March 2000, the Plaintiff has either published or licensed for publication all copies of the Indianapolis Photo in compliance with the copyright laws and has remained the sole owner of the copyright.
- 10. Indianapolis Photo was first published on the World Wide Web on August 29, 2000 by the user's account on Webshots. It was recently published on a website created by the Plaintiff under the domain name: www.richbellphotos.com
- 11. The "Indianapolis Photo" was registered on August 4, 2011 with the United States Copyright Office and assigned Registration Number VA0001785115.

COUNT I

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 12. Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC created a webpage piece that appears at http://static1.squarespace.com/static/516721dae4b0f61ca30fc82d/t/537a4d4ee4b0e95
 https://static1.squarespace.com/static/516721dae4b0f61ca30fc82d/t/537a4d4ee4b0e95
 <a href="https://
- 13. Upon information and belief, the Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC downloaded or took the Indianapolis Photo from the internet without permission from the owner, Richard N. Bell.

- 14. In May 2015, the Plaintiff discovered through the computer program "Google images" that Defendant displayed the Indianapolis Photo at http://static1.squarespace.com/static/516721dae4b0f61ca30fc82d/t/537a4d4ee4b0e95
 7bf2ea796/1400524109999/Cabinet+-+IPS+%28IN%29+-+2013.pdf
- 15. Defendant did not disclose the source of the stolen Indianapolis Photo or otherwise conferred credit to the owner; instead, Defendant, PROACT SEARCH, LLC willfully and recklessly falsely claimed that it owned the copyrights of all images and photos contained in the advertising piece including Indianapolis Photo.
- 16. During the year 2015, the Defendant, PROACT SEARCH, LLC used the Indianapolis Photo for a commercial use without paying for said use and without obtaining the necessary authorization from the Plaintiff, the copyright owner.
- 17. While the Defendant will know the exact date of first publication; based upon the Plaintiff's investigation, during the year 2015, Defendant began publishing the Indianapolis Photo and used the Indianapolis Photo for their commercial use without paying for said use and without obtaining the necessary authorization from the Plaintiff.
- 18. The Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC, knew that it did not own Indianapolis Photo and knew the Defendant had not obtained the rights to publish the Indianapolis Photo, but recklessly and falsely represented to the world otherwise.

- 19. Defendant PROACT SEARCH, LLC has not paid anyone for the right to publish the Indianapolis Photo, but instead fraudulently declared that Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC owned the copyrights to the Indianapolis Photo.
- 20. Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC refuses to pay for the unauthorized use of Indianapolis Photo.
- 21. Defendant has not agreed be enjoined from using the Indianapolis Photo.
- 22. Defendant has engaged in unfair trade practices and unfair competition in connection with its publication of the Indianapolis Photo, thus causing irreparable damage.
- 23. Defendant, PROACT SEARCH LLC, continues infringing conduct which has caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.
- 24. There is a risk of infringing conduct which has caused and will likely cause substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.
- 25. Plaintiff has complied in all respects with 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., and secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the copyrights of the above-referenced works.

- 26. Plaintiff has been and still is the sole proprietor of all rights, title, and interest in and to the copyrights in their respective works as referenced above.
- 27. Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC's conduct violates the exclusive rights belonging to Plaintiff as owner of the copyrights, including without limitation Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106.
- 28. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, as a direct and proximate result of their wrongful conduct, Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC has realized and continues to realize profits and other benefits rightfully belonging to Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff seek an award of damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 505.
- 29. Defendant's infringing conduct has also caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.
- 30. Defendant has willfully and deliberately engaged in, and, is willfully engaging in, the acts complained of with oppression, fraud, and malice ("Acts") and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to the maximum statutory damages allowable.
- 31. Examples of these willfully and deliberately Acts, include but not limited to the following:

- a. Defendant downloaded or took the Indianapolis Photo from the internet and included said photo on the Defendant's website.
- b. Defendant failed to designate the source of the stolen Indianapolis

 Photo or otherwise confer credit to the owner.
- c. Defendant recklessly, willfully and falsely asserted that the Defendant owned the copyrights of all content, images and photos contained in the Defendant's website including Indianapolis Photo.
- d. Defendant knew that it did not own Indianapolis Photo and knew the

 Defendant had not obtained the rights to publish the Indianapolis

 Photo, but deliberately and falsely represented to the world otherwise.
- e. Defendants has not paid anyone for the right to use Indianapolis

 Photo, but instead fraudulently declared that the Defendant owned the
 copyrights to the Indianapolis Photo.
- 32. As a consequence of this dispute between the parties as to the rights, title, and interest in the copyrighted articles described above, and pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, Plaintiff also seek a resolution of this ongoing controversy by a declaration of this Court as to the rights of the respective parties in this matter.

THEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant PROACT SEARCH as follows:

- a. Declaring that Defendant's unauthorized conduct violates Plaintiff's rights under common law and the Federal Copyright Act;
- b. Immediately and permanently enjoining Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC, their members, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, representatives, attorneys, related companies, successors, assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with them from copying and republishing any of Plaintiff's copyrighted articles or copyrighted material without consent or otherwise infringing Plaintiff's copyrights or other rights in any manner;
- c. Ordering Defendant PROACT SEARCH LLC to account to Plaintiff for all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendants by their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights or such damages as are proper, and since Defendant intentionally infringed plaintiff's copyrights, for the maximum allowable statutory damages for each violation;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff actual and/or statutory damages for Defendant copyright infringement in an amount to be determined at trial;
- e. Awarding Plaintiff their costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and disbursements in this action, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
- f. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted:

Date: June 25, 2015

/s Richard N. Bell
Richard N. Bell

Richard N. Bell, Atty No. 2669-49 Bell Law Firm 10042 Springstone Road Mc Cordsville, In 46055 (317) 589-8535 (317) 690-2053 Cell richbell@comcast.net

Exhibit A

