



Provided by:  
Overhauser Law Offices  
LLC  
[www.iniplaw.org](http://www.iniplaw.org)  
[www.overhauser.com](http://www.overhauser.com)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

RICHARD N. BELL, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
) Case No. 1:16-cv-1211  
v. )  
)  
STEVE KNAPP & )  
JUDGMENT RECOVERY OF INDIANA )  
)  
Defendants. )

**COMPLAINT**  
**Summary of lawsuit**

1. The Plaintiff, Richard N. Bell, took photograph of the Indianapolis Skyline in 2000 and the “Indianapolis Nighttime Photo” was registered with the U.S. Copyright office. In 2016, the Plaintiff discovered that the Defendants STEVE KNAPP (“KNAPP”) and JUDGMENT RECOVERY OF INDIANA (“RECOVERY”) published the “Indianapolis Nighttime Photo” in advertising which appears on a website owned by Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY, even though the Defendants had no rights or authority to publish the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo. The Plaintiff requests damages and injunctive relief against Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY for violations of the U.S. Copyright laws.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This copyright infringement action arises under 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (acts of Congress related to copyright).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants by virtue of their transacting, doing, and soliciting business in this District, and because a substantial part of the relevant events occurred in this District and because a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action is situated here.

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a) because the named plaintiff Richard N. Bell resides in this district and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; and/or conduct business in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

5. The Plaintiff, Richard N. Bell is an attorney and a professional photographer and lives in McCordsville, Indiana.

6. Defendants STEVE KNAPP (“KNAPP”) and JUDGMENT RECOVERY OF INDIANA (“RECOVERY”), located Greenwood, Indiana, created a website with the domain name of <http://www.judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com/#!about-us/mainPage>

#### **FACTS**

7. In March 2000, the Plaintiff, a United States citizen, took a photograph of downtown Indianapolis skyline from overlooking the canal from St. Clair Avenue.

8. The photograph is an original work that is copyrighted under United States law. A copy of the photo is attached as Exhibit A, hereinafter referred to as “Indianapolis Nighttime Photo”

9. Since March 2000, the Plaintiff has either published or licensed for publication all copies of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo in compliance with the copyright laws and has remained the sole owner of the copyright.

10. Indianapolis Nighttime Photo was first published on the World Wide Web on August 29, 2000 by the user’s account on Webshots. It was recently published on a website created by the Plaintiff under the domain name: [www.richbellphotos.com](http://www.richbellphotos.com)

11. The “Indianapolis Nighttime Photo” was registered on August 4, 2011 with the United States Copyright Office and assigned Registration Number VA0001785115.

12. Plaintiff and others authorized by the Plaintiff have used the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo in advertising to such an extent that the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo is identified by the public as being created by the Plaintiff.

13. Plaintiff and others authorized by the Plaintiff have used the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo in advertising to promote their photography business.

## COUNT I

### COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

14. Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY created a website with the domain name of judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com to promote and advertise their collection business.

15. Defendants used the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo to draw or attract prospective customers to Defendants' business.

16. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff because it had the right and ability to control and supervise the content of therefirm.com and to control and supervise the access of third party Internet users to that website.

17. Upon information and belief, the Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY downloaded or took the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo from the internet without permission from the owner.

18. In April 2016, the Plaintiff discovered through the computer program "Google images" that the website of contained the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo at <http://www.judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com/#!/about-us/mainPage>

19. Defendants did not disclose the source of the stolen Indianapolis Nighttime Photo or otherwise conferred credit to the owner; instead, the Defendants willfully and recklessly falsely claimed that it client owned the copyrights of all

images and photos contained on the website of

<http://www.judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com/#!/about-us/mainPage> including

Indianapolis Nighttime Photo and thereby disparaged the Plaintiff.

20. During the year 2016, the website of Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY published the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo for its commercial use without paying for said use and without obtaining the necessary authorization from the Plaintiff, the copyright owner.

21. While the Defendants will know the exact date of first publication, based upon the Plaintiff's investigation, during the year 2016, Defendants began publishing the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo and used the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo for their commercial use without paying for said use and without obtaining the necessary authorization from the Plaintiff.

22. The Defendants knew that they did not own Indianapolis Nighttime Photo and knew the Defendants had not obtained the rights to publish the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo, but recklessly and falsely represented to the world otherwise.

23. Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY have not paid anyone for the right to publish the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo, but instead fraudulently declared that the Defendants owned the copyrights to the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.

24. Defendants refuses to pay for the unauthorized use of Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.

25. Defendants have not agreed be enjoined from using the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.

26. Defendants have engaged in unfair trade practices and unfair competition in connection with its publication of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo, thus causing irreparable damage and that Defendants' publication of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo failed to designate the source of the stolen Indianapolis Nighttime Photo or otherwise confer credit to the owner and thereby such conduct has disparaged the Plaintiff thus causing irreparable damage.

27. Defendants infringing conduct which has caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.

28. There is a risk of infringing conduct which has caused and will likely cause substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.

29. After the Defendants published the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo, Defendants permitted third parties to access to the webpage <http://www.judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com/#!/about-us/mainPage> and copy the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo to third party Internet User's computers.

30. Defendants are vicariously liable for each such downloaded copy of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo initiated by each third party Internet User regardless of whether Defendants was aware that the third party was creating the downloaded copy.

31. Defendants are liable for all profits resulting from each downloaded copy of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo created by each such third party Internet User.

32. Defendants are liable for copyright infringement regardless of whether Defendants knew that any use of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo would infringe copyrights Plaintiff owns.

33. Plaintiff has complied in all respects with 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., and secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the copyrights of the above-referenced works.

34. Plaintiff has been and still is the sole proprietor of all rights, title, and interest in and to the copyrights in their respective works as referenced above.

35. Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY's conduct violates the exclusive rights belonging to Plaintiff as owner of the copyrights, including without limitation Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106.

36. Plaintiff seeks damages for Defendants' use of Plaintiff's advertising idea comprising the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.

37. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, as a direct and proximate result of their wrongful conduct, Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY have realized and continue to realize profits and other benefits rightfully belonging to Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff seek an award of damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 505.

38. Defendants' infringing conduct has also caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving the Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law.

39. Plaintiff seeks an injunction to enjoin Defendants from "republishing" any of your copyrighted materials that, if granted, would require that the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo not be available on which would thereby make it impossible for third party Internet users to download copies of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo from said webpage.



40. Defendants has willfully and deliberately engaged in, and, is willfully engaging in, the acts complained of with oppression, fraud, and malice (“Acts”) and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to the maximum statutory damages allowable.

41. Examples of these willfully and deliberately Acts, include but not limited to the following:

- a. Defendants downloaded or took the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo from the internet and included said photo on the Defendants’ website.
- b. Defendants failed to designate the source of the stolen Indianapolis Nighttime Photo or otherwise confer credit to the owner.
- c. Defendants recklessly, willfully and falsely asserted that the Defendants owned the copyrights of all content, images and photos contained in the Defendants’ website including Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.
- d. Defendants knew that it did not own Indianapolis Nighttime Photo and knew the Defendants had not obtained the rights to publish the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo, but deliberately and falsely represented to the world otherwise.
- e. Defendants have not paid anyone for the right to use Indianapolis

Nighttime Photo, but instead fraudulently declared that the

Defendants owned the copyrights to the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo.

42. As a consequence of this dispute between the parties as to the rights, title, and interest in the copyrighted articles described above, and pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, Plaintiff also seek a resolution of this ongoing controversy by a declaration of this Court as to the rights of the respective parties in this matter.

THEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY as follows:

- a. Declaring that Defendants' unauthorized conduct violates Plaintiff's rights under common law and the Federal Copyright Act;
- b. Immediately and permanently enjoining Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY, their members, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, representatives, attorneys, related companies, successors, assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with them from copying and republishing any of Plaintiff's copyrighted articles or copyrighted material without consent or otherwise infringing Plaintiff's copyrights or other rights in any manner;
- c. Enjoin Defendants from "republishing" any of your copyrighted materials that, if granted, would require that the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo not be available on <http://www.judgmentrecoveryofindiana.com/#!/about-us/mainPage> which would thereby make it impossible for third party Internet users to download copies of the Indianapolis Nighttime Photo from said webpage.

- d. Ordering Defendants KNAPP and RECOVERY to account to Plaintiff for all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendants, and third party users by their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights or such damages as are proper, and since Defendants intentionally infringed plaintiff's copyrights, for the maximum allowable statutory damages for each violation;
- e. Awarding Plaintiff actual and/or statutory damages for Defendants copyright infringement in an amount to be determined at trial;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff their costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and disbursements in this action, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
- g. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted:

Date: May 16, 2016

/s Richard N. Bell  
Richard N. Bell

Richard N. Bell, Atty No. 2669-49  
Bell Law Firm  
10042 Springstone Road  
McCordsville, In 46055  
(317) 589-8535  
(317) 690-2053 Cell;  
richbell@comcast.net