Provided by: Overhauser Law Offices www.iniplaw.org

2

1

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

3

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC., 4

VS.

BENITO BRITO FLORES,

Case No.: 1:16-cv-970

5

Plaintiff,

SAMUEL BARRERA, INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A TAQUERIA JALISCO,

INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A TAQUERIA JALISCO, AND REST MEX, INC., AN UNKNOWN BUSINESS ENTITY, D/B/A

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT** 

6

7 8

10 11

12

13 14

**PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:** 

TAQUERIA JALISCO,

15

16

#### **JURISDICTION**

action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934,

as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and

Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq.

Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This

17

18

19

20 21

///

///

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at

The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Indiana.

3

## 4

5

6

7

# 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

#### **VENUE**

Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Southern District, because a 4. substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

Assignment to the Indianapolis Division of the Southern District is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Marion County and/or the United States District Court for the Southern District has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

#### THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2380 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, Campbell, California 95008.
- Defendants Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores are officers of Rest Mex, Inc which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Taqueria Jalisco. Taqueria Jalisco operates at 3648 North High School Road, Indianapolis IN 46224.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on May 3, 2014 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendants Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores had the right and ability to supervise the activities of Rest Mex, Inc., which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on May 3, 2014 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 16), Defendants Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores specifically directed the employees of Taqueria Jalisco to unlawfully

1 2

3 4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Taqueria Jalisco or that the actions of the employees of Taqueria Jalisco are directly imputable to Defendants Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores by virtue of their acknowledged responsibility for the actions of Taqueria Jalisco and Rest Mex Inc.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on May 3, 2014, Defendants 10. Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores as managing members of Rest Mex, Inc., had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of Taqueria Jalisco, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's Program.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that the unlawful broadcast of 11. Plaintiff's Program, as supervised and/or authorized by Defendants Samuel Barrera and Benito Brito Flores resulted in increased profits for Tagueria Jalisco.

#### **COUNT I**

### (Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

- 12. Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-14, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 13. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to "Floyd Mayweather, Jr vs. Marcos Rene Maidana, WBC Welterweight Championship Fight Program" telecast nationwide on Saturday, May 3, 2014 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event but not limited to WBA Super World Welterweight Title: Amir Kahn vs. Luis Callazo that was observed at Taqueria Jalisco, hereinafter referred to as the "Program").
- 14. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Indiana, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial

- 2 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).
- 15. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the Program to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.
- With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, 16. divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every one of the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-14 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Indianapolis, located at 3648 North High School Road, Indianapolis IN 46224.
- 17. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.
- 18. Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of communications (such as the transmission of the Program for which Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., had the distribution rights thereto).
- 19. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq.
- 20. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq., Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605.

1	Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the <i>Program</i> and deliberate injury to the		
	Plaintiff.		
3		WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.	
4			
5			
6	As to	o the First Count:	
7	1.	For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants,	
8	1.	and each of them, and	
9	2.	For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and	
10	3.	For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of	
11		process fees, investigative costs, and	
12	4.	For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just	
13		and proper;	
14			
15	As to	o the Second Count:	
16	1.	For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000,00 against the Defendants	
17	1.	For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and;	
18	2.	For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's	
19		discretion pursuant to statute, and;	
	3.	For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service	
20		of process fees, investigative costs, and;	
21	4.	For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just	
22		and proper.	
23			
24	As t	o the Third Count:	
25	1.	For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the	
26		Defendants, and each of them, and;	
27	2.	For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and;	
28	3.	For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and;	

Case	1:16-cv-00970-SEB-DML Document 1 Filed 04/29/16 Page 8 of 8 PageID #: 8				
1	4.		eys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant		
2		to statute, and;			
3	5.	For all costs of suit in	ncluding but not limited to filing fees, service of process fee,		
4	J.	investigative costs, and;			
5	6.		rther relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.		
6					
7			Respectfully submitted,		
8					
9	Date: April 29, 2016		/s/ Charlie W. Gordon GREENE & COOPER, LLP		
10			By: Charlie W. Gordon		
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc.		
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
20					