



Provided by:  
Overhauser Law Offices  
LLC  
www.iniplaw.org  
www.overhauser.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION**

_____	)	
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.;	)	
SONY/ATV SONGS LLC d/b/a	)	
SONY/ATV TREE PUBLISHING;	)	
CHINQUAPIN MUSIC;	)	
BOY ROCKING MUSIC;	)	
WARNER-TAMERLANE PUBLISHING	)	
CORP.; DEL SOUND MUSIC;	)	
UNIVERSAL – SONGS OF POLYGRAM	)	
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 1:16-CV-3022
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
HOOSIER DADDY’S NCIN, LLC	)	
d/b/a HOOSIER DADDY’S BAR & GRILL;	)	
Serve: Corporation Service Company	)	
Registered Agent	)	
251 E. Ohio Street, Ste. 500	)	
Indianapolis, IN 46204	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
JEFF S. BURCHETT, individually,	)	
Serve: Jeff S. Burchett	)	
1465 E County Road, 300 S	)	
New Castle, IN 47362	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, for their Complaint against Defendants, allege as follows (on knowledge as to Plaintiffs; otherwise on information and belief):

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a suit for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the “Copyright Act”). This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a).

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Broadcast Music, Inc. (“BMI”), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. BMI’s principal place of business is 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. BMI has been granted the right to license the public performance rights in approximately 10.5 million copyrighted musical compositions (the “BMI Repertoire”), including those which are alleged herein to have been infringed.

4. The Plaintiffs other than BMI are the owners of the copyrights in the musical compositions, which are the subject of this lawsuit. All Plaintiffs are joined pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 17(a) and 19(a).

5. Plaintiff Sony/ATV Songs LLC is a limited liability company doing business as Sony/ATV Tree Publishing. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

6. Plaintiff Chinquapin Music is a sole proprietorship owned by Walter Allen Richmond. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

7. Plaintiff Boy Rocking Music is a sole proprietorship owned by Paul Steven Ripley. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

8. Plaintiff Warner-Tamerlane Publishing Corp. is a corporation. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

9. Plaintiff Del Sound Music is a sole proprietorship owned by George Thorogood. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

10. Plaintiff Universal – Songs of Polygram International, Inc. is a corporation. This Plaintiff is the copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

11. Defendant Hoosier Daddy's NCIN, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Indiana, which operates, maintains and controls an establishment known as Hoosier Daddy's Bar & Grill, located at 1538 Indiana Avenue, New Castle, Henry County, Indiana 47362, in this district (the "Establishment").

12. In connection with the operation of the Establishment, Defendant Hoosier Daddy's NCIN, LLC publicly performs musical compositions and/or causes musical compositions to be publicly performed.

13. Defendant Hoosier Daddy's NCIN, LLC has a direct financial interest in the Establishment.

14. Defendant Jeff S. Burchett is President of Defendant Hoosier Daddy's NCIN, LLC with responsibility for the operation and management of that corporation and the Establishment.

15. Defendant Jeff S. Burchett has the right and ability to supervise the activities of Defendant Hoosier Daddy's NCIN, LLC and a direct financial interest in that corporation and the Establishment.

CLAIMS OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

16. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15.

17. Since July 2015, BMI has reached out to Defendants over 30 times, by phone and mail, in an effort to educate Defendants as to their obligations under the Copyright Act with respect to the necessity of purchasing a license for the public performance of musical compositions in the BMI repertoire. Included in the letters were Cease and Desist Notices, providing Defendants with formal notice that they must immediately cease all use of BMI-licensed music in the Establishment.

18. Plaintiffs allege four (4) claims of willful copyright infringement, based upon Defendants' unauthorized public performance of musical compositions from the BMI Repertoire. All of the claims for copyright infringement joined in this Complaint are governed by the same legal rules and involve similar facts. Joinder of these claims will promote the convenient administration of justice and will avoid a multiplicity of separate, similar actions against Defendants.

19. Annexed to this Complaint as a schedule (the "Schedule") and incorporated herein is a list identifying some of the many musical compositions whose copyrights were infringed by Defendants. See Schedule, attached as Exhibit A. The Schedule contains information on the four (4) claims of copyright infringement at issue in this action. Each numbered claim has the following eight lines of information (all references to "Lines" are lines on the Schedule): Line 1 providing the claim number; Line 2 listing the title of the musical composition related to that claim; Line 3 identifying the writer(s) of the musical composition; Line 4 identifying the publisher(s) of the musical composition and the plaintiff(s) in this action pursuing the claim at issue; Line 5 providing the date on which the copyright registration was issued for the musical composition; Line 6

indicating the copyright registration number(s) for the musical composition; Line 7 showing the date(s) of infringement; and Line 8 identifying the Establishment where the infringement occurred.

20. For each work identified on the Schedule, the person(s) named on Line 3 was the creator of that musical composition.

21. For each work identified on the Schedule, on or about the date(s) indicated on Line 5, the publisher(s) named on Line 4 (including any predecessors in interest), complied in all respects with the requirements of the Copyright Act and received from the Register of Copyrights Certificates of Registration bearing the number(s) listed on Line 6.

22. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, Plaintiff BMI was (and still is) the licensor of the public performance rights in the musical composition identified on Line 2. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, the Plaintiff(s) listed on Line 4 was (and still is) the owner of the copyright in the respective musical composition listed on Line 2.

23. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, Defendants publicly performed and/or caused to be publicly performed at the Establishment the musical composition identified on Line 2 without a license or permission to do so. Thus, Defendants have committed copyright infringement.

24. The specific acts of copyright infringement alleged in the Complaint, as well as Defendants' entire course of conduct, have caused and are causing Plaintiffs great and incalculable damage. By continuing to provide unauthorized public performances of works in the BMI Repertoire at the Establishment, Defendants threaten to continue committing copyright infringement. Unless this Court restrains Defendants from committing further acts of copyright infringement, Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury for which they have no adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that:

(I) Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, and all persons acting under their permission and authority, be enjoined and restrained from infringing, in any manner, the copyrighted musical compositions licensed by BMI, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502;

(II) Defendants be ordered to pay statutory damages, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c);

(III) Defendants be ordered to pay costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

(IV) Plaintiffs have such other and further relief as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reva D. Campbell  
Reva D. Campbell  
BINGHAM GREENEBAUM DOLL LLP  
3500 National City Tower  
101 South Fifth Street  
Louisville, KY 40202  
(502) 589-4200  
E-mail: [rcampbell@bgdlegal.com](mailto:rcampbell@bgdlegal.com)

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS